

**From:** ike forty-two <ikefortytwo@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** February 7, 2005 1:43:08 AM PST  
**To:** Plejarens\_are\_real\_2005@yahoogroups.com, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, Kramer <kramer@randi.org>, derek@iigwest.com, Vaughn Rees <Vaughn@cfiwest.org>, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>  
**Subject:** Re: [Plejarens\_are\_real\_2005] Michael Horn and List

Hello PAR people,

**Der Beobachter wrote:**  
**Have you seen this already?**

<http://www.iigwest.com/ike42report.htm>

As some of you may recall: last spring, Michael Horn and I debated a few points here and I decided that I needed to do some research to solidify my position, so I did. That report is the result. It was originally posted to the PAR group. After I posted it, someone from IIG-West asked me if they could put it on their web site. I added the introduction and formatted it to look better when printed and sent it to them.

**Michael Horn wrote:**  
**Yes, not only did I see and read it but it actually proves Billy was truthful.**

DB (and other newcomers), you might be interested in Michael's original replies to my report:  
<[http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Plejarens\\_are\\_real\\_2005/message/3374](http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Plejarens_are_real_2005/message/3374)>  
<[http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Plejarens\\_are\\_real\\_2005/message/3442](http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Plejarens_are_real_2005/message/3442)>

**The key fact is that it wasn't until March 12, 1979 that it was confirmed that Io was the most volcanically active body in the solar system. Not only did Billy first publish his information on October 18, 1978 but Wendelle Stevens had it all in his hands no later than March 9, 1979.**

As I said in my report, the information I found led me to believe that the Billy Meier Contacts are most likely a hoax. Michael Horn thinks the same findings help prove the case genuine. Go figure. I think the main difference is that Michael accepts the dates given by Billy Meier and Wendelle Stevens and I don't. I tried to confirm the publication dates that Michael quotes, but I could not. Can anyone provide documentation for the publication dates of that Meier information?? The only details Michael has given about the October 1978 publication of the 115th

Contact Notes is statements that all the Contact Notes are distributed to some people in Europe shortly after they are written.

**More importantly, the author assumes all sorts of things not in evidence, such as Billy's access to, and understanding of, the scientific information re the Jupiter discoveries**

As I said in the report: "The information I reference was generally available and likely was reported in a variety of publications worldwide." I think that is a completely reasonable assumption.

**publishing scientific information sure to attract criticism if it was wrong, which it wasn't, etc.**

According to the information I found, some of Meier's scientific information *\*was\** wrong. Read the report again if you are interested in the details.

**But additionally compelling, even if Billy could have somehow inexplicably "hoaxed" the information, why would he bother when the SAME contact has ten predictions that all came later true, the death of Indira Ghandi in 1984 being the last one years later? Remember that Stevens found out that he had accidentally been given the predictions from the same contact as the Jupiter information.**

Before being impressed by such predictions, I need to have the exact text of the prediction and a confirmed date that the predictions were written. Can anyone provide that information for the predictions Michael is talking about here?

Michael's "Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt" paper says: "Witnessed by the three men, the information was secured until after the following specific, predicted events occurred". So, it sounds like the information wasn't widely disseminated until after the events occurred.... A competent magician or anyone with more than two friends could duplicate that feat without any help from ETs.

As you can see, I am unable to confirm to my satisfaction that Meier has successfully predicted anything.

I am interested in references to any documented facts

**on the subject. I would prefer not to argue about different interpretations of gray areas (such as whether Metis and Adrastea are big enough to be considered moons of Jupiter, or whether the personal testimony of Marcel Vogel or Wendelle Stevens is believable). If the case is genuine, surely there are some clear-cut facts out there somewhere.**

**ike42**

---

**Do you Yahoo!?**  
**The all-new My Yahoo! - Get yours free!**  
**<http://my.yahoo.com>**